28

by telephone.

1	MATTHEW SARNOSKI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 009176	
2	DENNETT WINSPEAR, LLP	
3	3301 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 195 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129	
4	Telephone: (702) 839-1100 Facsimile: (702) 839-1113	
5	MICHELLE M. BRACKE, ESQ.	
6	Illinois Bar No. 6224533 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP	
7	550 W. Adams Street, 3rd Floor Chicago, Illinois 60661	
8	Telephone: (312) 345-1718 Facsimile: (312) 345-1778 Pro Hac Vice	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff, CHICAGO INSURANCE COMPANY	
10	UNITED STATES DIST	FRICT COLIRT
11	DISTRICT OF N	
12		
13	CHICAGO INSURANCE COMPANY, an Illinois Corporation,	Case No: 2:14-cv-00308-RFB-VCF
14	Plaintiff,	
15	v .	
16	KUMMER KAEMPFER, BONNER, RENSHAW	EXTEND DATE FOR FILING OF
17	VISTANA CONDOMINIUM OWNERS	RULE 26(f) DISCOVERY PLAN
18	DOES I through V, and ROE COMPANIES I	(Fourth Request)
19	through V, inclusive,	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22	WHEREAS Chicago Insurance Company	("Plaintiff") through its attorneys, Dennett
23	Winspear, LLP and Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smit	th, LLP, and Defendant Kummer Kaempfer
24	Bonner Renshaw and Ferraro ("Defendant KKBRF") through its attorney, Santoro Whitmire, and	
25	,	
	Vistana Condominium Owners Association, Inc.	("Defendant Vistana") by and through its

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS the parties are to submit a stipulated discovery plan pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f).

WHEREAS Defendant KKBRF filed a Motion to Dismiss [Dkt. No. 14] on July 15, 2014; Plaintiff filed a Motion for Summary Judgment [Dkt. No. 17] and Combined Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss and in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment [Dkt. No. 18] on August 1, 2014; Defendant Vistana filed its Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment [Dkt. No. 22] on August 25, 2014; Defendant KKBRF filed a Reply to Response to Motion to Dismiss [Dkt. No. 24], Response to Motion for Summary Judgment [Dkt. No. 25], and Countermotion for Summary Judgment [Dkt. No. 26] on August 25, 2014. Plaintiff subsequently filed their opposition and reply [Dkt. No 35-36]. KKBRF submitted its reply [Dkt. No. 37] for their counter-motion.

WHEREAS additional pleadings were filed by KKBRF [Dkt. No. 40] on April 1, 2015. requesting supplemental briefing on the foregoing motion practice; which request for supplemental briefing was responded to by Plaintiff [Dkt. No. 41] on April 15, 2015; and whereas reply was filed by KKBRF [Dkt. No. 42] on April 27, 2015.

WHEREAS the Court's ruling on the various motions may negate the need for the parties to file a discovery plan consistent with Rule 26(f) as all claims for relief are at issue in the pending motions.

WHEREAS the Court has issued an Order [Dkt. No. 32] acknowledging that the results of motion practice may greatly simplify or obviate need for discovery, which Order granted a prior extension to file a discovery plan (which extension has lapsed) and granted these parties leave to request further extension.

WHEREAS the Court has issued additional subsequent Orders [Dkt. Nos. 39, 44] staying discovery and granting sequential extensions of the deadline for submission of a Rule 26(f) plan through August 17, 2015, which Orders expressly included provisions for the parties hereto to request additional extension of time in event that no ruling on the aforementioned pleadings has been forthcoming by that date.

WHEREAS the parties understand to	hat the Court must approve this stipulation pursuant	
to Local Rule 6-1 and Local Rule 7-1.		
IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by a	and between the parties, through their respective	
counsel, as follows:		
1. The deadline to submit a dis	covery plan and exchange initial disclosures in	
accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Proce	dure and Local Rule 26-1 is hereby stayed/extended.	
2. In the event the Court denies the	various Motions, the parties shall submit a discovery	
plan in accordance with Federal Rule of Cir	the earlier of vil Procedure 26(f) and Local Rule 26-1 <mark>^within</mark> thirty	
(30) days after the Court's ruling thereon. , o	r December 16, 2015.	
3. If the Court is not inclined to ado	opt the proposal set forth in paragraph 2 above, the	
parties request that the date for submitting	g a discovery plan be deferred, at a minimum, to	
Monday, November 16, 2015, which is an ar	oproximate ninety (90) day extension. This extension	
may mitigate the expenses that would be	borne by the parties in discovery while the various	
dispositive motions are under consideration.		
DATED this 7th day of August, 2015	DATED this 7th day of August, 2015	
DENNETT WINSPEAR, LLP	SANTORO WHITMIRE	
By: /s/ Matthew A. Sarnoski, Esq. GINA GILBERT WINSPEAR, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005552 MATTHEW A. SARNOSKI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 009176 3301 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 195 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 P: (702) 839-1100 F: (702) 839-1113	By: /s/ James E. Whitmire, Esq. JAMES E. WHITMIRE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006533 10100 W. CHARLESTON BLVD., SUITE 250 LAS VEGAS, NV 89135 P: (702) 948-8771 F: (702) 948-8773 Attorneys for Defendant KUMMER KAEMPFER, BONNER, RENSHAW AND FERRARO	
MICHELLE M. BRACKE, ESQ. Illinois Bar No. 6224533 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 550 W. Adams Street, 3rd Floor Chicago, Illinois 60661 P: (312) 345-1718 F: (312) 345-1778 Pro Hac Vice Attorneys for Plaintiff, CHICAGO INSURANCE COMPANY		

1	DATED this 7th day of August, 2015
2	GIBBS, GIDEN, LOCHER, TURNER, SENET & WITTBRODT, LLP
3	AND
4	By <u>: /s/ Richard E. Haskin, Esq.</u> RICHARD E. HASKIN, ESQ.
5	Nevada Bar No. 011592 Gibbs, Giden, Locher Turner Senet &
6	Wittbrodt LLP 1880 Century Park East, 12th Floor
7	Los Angeles, California 90067 P: (310) 552-3400
8	7450 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 270
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 P: (702) 836-9800
10	Attorneys for Defendant, VISTANA CONDOMUNIUM OWNERS ASSN.
11	741
12	IT IS SO ORDERED this 7th day of August , 2015.
13	C. Ball
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	CNITED STATES MAGISTRATE SUDGE
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
24 25	
24	